

Anesthesia Injection during Dental Procedures

Ghaderi F^{2*}

²Associate professor, Department of Pediatric dentistry, Dental School, Shiraz university of medical sciences, Shiraz, Iran

*Corresponding author

Faezeh Ghaderi, Department of Pediatric dentistry, Dental School, Shiraz university of medical sciences, Shiraz, Iran, E-mail: ghaderi_fa@sums.ac.ir

Received Date: June 17 2022

Accepted Date: June 19 2022

Published Date: July 19 2022

Introduction

Torment control during dental treatment is one of the main parts of pediatric patient conduct the executives during dental visits. Encountering torment during dental treatment upsets the collaboration, however may likewise influence the patients perspective of dentistry later on. Neighborhood sedation is generally shown in dental systems to forestall distress during treatment, so dental technique can be completed effectively[1]. Albeit neighborhood sedation is considered to alleviation the aggravation, the infusion all alone may cause torment and in this way cause disquiet and doubt [2], to the degree that the apparent aggravation is one of the deterrents of giving proper dental treatment to pediatric patients [3].

The aggravation brought about by the nearby sedation is affected by various factors, for example, kind of sedative arrangement, check size of the needle, temperature and pH of the sedative arrangement [4], area of the infusion site [5],and the speed and measure of the infusion [6]. Then again, psychologic factors like uneasiness, apprehension about dental agony, feeling of dread toward dental infusion, and furthermore segment factors like orientation may likewise impact torment insight [7].

Different procedures have been acquainted all together with limit the aggravation of neighborhood sedation. The most generally upheld strategy is the utilization of effective sedative specialist before infusion [8], notwithstanding, because of inadequacies like longer length of activity, disappointing taste, and spread of the sedative specialist to non-infusion destinations, different modalities have been created [9]. Different techniques incorporate, warming [10] and buffering [11] the neighborhood sedative arrangement,

cooling the infusion site [8, 12], slow invasion of nearby sedation [13], interruption and other counter-disturbance strategies [14]. Some recently present mechanical gadgets have been utilized, for example, Computer Controlled Local Anesthesia Delivery System (CCLAD) [15], Wand [16] and Comfort Control Syringe (CCS) [17]. Besides vibrotactile gadgets like Vibraject [18] and Dental Vibe [19] may go about as counter feeling and in this way decreased the agony. Low Level Laser Therapy (LLLT) or delicate laser is likewise a strategy which guarantees a pain relieving impact and can lessen the aggravation from neighborhood sedative infusion [4].

Taking into account neighborhood sedation infusion might cause the best bad reaction for pediatric patients, and the way that this negative reaction increments over a progression of four or five infusions [1]; we outline accessible methodologies of torment decrease during nearby sedation infusion for dental techniques.

Low Level Laser treatment

Taking into account the new advances, laser treatment appears to be really encouraging. Low level Laser Therapy (LLLT) is a monochromatic and cognizant light of single frequency of 600 to 950 nm, as this frequency exhibits more prominent dissemination and doesn't have any removing impacts. LLLT depends on the standard of photobiostimulation of the cells and has various applications, for example, torment decrease and recuperating advancement [20]. There are a couple of dental reports in this field, in any case, just a few clinical examinations have been led [21, 22] Jagtap et al [4] that overviewed the impact of Low level Laser Therapy for torment decrease during nearby sedative infusion. In the review, torment view of patients was surveyed, taking into account the use of LLLT (diode laser, frequency 660 nm, and result power 60 wM) at the site of infusion and without LLLT application, utilizing Visual Analog Scale (VAS). The correlation of agony discernment in the laser and fake treatment conditions demonstrated that LLLT decreased torment during infusion of nearby sedation. In another review, Ghaderi et al [23] surveyed torment discernment during needle addition into mucosa following effective sedative agent(Benzocaine gel) in addition to low power laser(Aluminum gallium arsenide, energy thickness of 4 J/cm², power 100 mil W, ceaseless wave, frequency 960 nm) in contrast with effective sedative specialist in addition to fake treatment. Torment discernment upon addition of the needle in the two gatherings were recorded by Visual Analog Scale (VAS). They presumed that synchronous utilization of laser with nearby sedative items containing benzocaine before dental needle inclusion doesn't decrease torment discernment.

The pain relieving impact of LLLT might be ascribed to a couple

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

of things, for example, lesser transmission of motivations because of diminished nociceptive signs emerging from fringe nerves, the inhibitory impact of laser on A δ and C agony fiber, expansion in blend of nitric oxide, rise of activity possible in nerves, regrowth of axons, recovery of neurons, decrease in measure of bradykinin, and expansion underway of acetylcholine or normalization of particle channel [24-26]. There are not an immense distributed information on the impact of LLLT on infusion locales in dental methodology, and the survey of writing gives clashing results[4, 23]. The justification for this could be credited to numerous elements which might impact the treatment conventions like treatment measurements, frequency, irradiance, contact or noncontact application, openness time, tissue type, physiological condition and optical properties of the tissue [23]. Further exploration is required on the viability of LLLT in torment decrease in kids.

Cooling Technique

There are a couple of reports on the viability of precooling the infusion site before neighborhood sedative infusion. Different cooling specialists have been utilized, for example, dichlorodifluoromethane splash [27], tetrafluoroethane spray[9], Ice [28], pentafluoropropane/tetrafluoroethane (refrigerants) [29], and Ethyl chloride [30]. Ice cone has shown altogether higher viability in contrast with refrigerants [8]. Harleen Kaur et al. [28] evaluated the viability of precooling the infusion site by involving ice in freeing the aggravation brought about by infusion from maxillary penetration in pediatric patients utilizing VAS sound, eye, and development (SEM) scale. They reasoned that pre-cooling the infusion site fills in as a protected and compelling strategy to diminish the uneasiness and dread in kids. Hameed et al [9] assessed the viability of precooling the infusion site involving tetrafluoroethane shower on torment discernment in youngsters matured 8-10 years, during IAN block utilizing VAS and SEM. They presumed that precooling the infusion site utilizing refrigerant tetrafluoroethane shower is successful in killing torment before neighborhood sedation organization in kids. In another review Ghaderi et al [12] assessed the impact of ice pack on help with discomfort during penetration of neighborhood sedative specialist in youngsters matured 8-10 years utilizing SEM and VAS. The outcomes affirmed the finish of the past examinations. Besides, a few different examinations expressed that Cryoanesthesia involving refrigerant as an effective sedation caused decrease in torment discernment [29, 31-35].

The reasoning behind cooling of infusion site is connected with deferred neuromuscular transmission [36], expanded torment edge by invigorating myelinated A- σ filaments, initiating inhibitory agony pathways, and furthermore repressing stretch reflex at the spinal level [37].

Warming or buffering strategies

A precise survey performed to break down the impact of warming the nearby sedative on infusion torment, Hogan et al [38] reasoned that warming (internal heat level in contrast with room temperature) neighborhood sedatives eases the aggravation during subcutaneous or intradermal infusion of nearby sedative infusions. In another review, performed by Aravena et al [39], the impact of warming(42°C) sedatives on torment discernment during dental infusion was assessed; the outcomes demonstrated a critical decrease of seen torment during the infusion of sedation. Different examinations have affirmed these results[40-42].

The system of temperature on torment decrease is that warmed neighborhood sedation would speed up the beginning of tangible block by expanding the latent dissemination across nonneural structures and at the same time expanding the nonionized (more vulnerable) type of the nearby sedative drug[43] and the synergic activity on the permeabilization of the Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid-1 channels, permitting the entry of sedative inside the nociceptors [39]. Reports in regards with the impact of buffering arrangement on torment is disputable [44, 45], Spivakovsky et al[46] played out a survey article to assess the impact of buffering the neighborhood sedative arrangement on torment and presumed that supported lidocaine doesn't diminish torment during infusion. In a deliberate survey by Aulestia-Viera et al [47], it was reasoned that changing the pH of lidocaine was not successful in lessening the aggravation of intraoral infusions in typical or excited tissues, subsequently, routine alkalization of neighborhood sedatives was not suggested in dentistry.

Vibrotactile Devices

The premise of Vibrotactile gadgets is the door control hypothesis of agony. Vibration and contact receptors animate inhibitory interneurons in the spinal string and results in disposal of agony send data by A- δ and C strands to the second-request neurons of the spinal line [48]. The executed investigations showed a discussion in regards to the viability of Vibraject and DentalVibe. A portion of the examinations have shown that Vibraject [49] or DentalVibe [50-52] essentially diminished torment and distress, while the others showed no tremendous contrast [53-56].

PC Aided Delivery Systems

Modernized nearby sedative conveyance frameworks have been created to make persistent sedative arrangement conveyance conceivable at a more slow rate and consistent strain; which might make less agony in examination ordinary methods. A few examinations have shown the viability of PC controlled neighborhood sedative conveyance frameworks (CCLADS) like the Wand STA framework, Smartject, or solace

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

control needle (CCS) in giving less excruciating infusions [17, 57-61]. The consequences of a survey by Kwak EJ et al. [58] expressed that utilizing CCLAD brought about less torment and was more powerful sedation in grown-ups than in kids [58], in spite of the fact that, utilization of CCLADS were as yet productive in children[59]. It very well may be presumed that the principal reason of agony during infusion is because of conflicting sedative arrangement tension on nerve strands which can be precluded utilizing CCLADS.

Fly Injectors

10% of all inclusive community experience the ill effects of needle-fear known as blenophobia [62, 63], in this manner, needle-less nearby sedation infusion methods have been created. The component of activity of fly infusion depends on delivering the sedative arrangement through a tiny hole with pressure. The most well-known fly injector gadgets are Syrijet Mark II and MED-JET [64]. restricted clinical proof is accessible and the outcome are disputable. A portion of the reposts communicated that needleless fly infusion framework and traditional methods didn't contrast concerning the aggravation experience during sedation [65], while certain reports were supportive of Jet infusion (INJEX) and showed lower torment discernment utilizing INJEX [66].

Iontophoresis

Iontophoresis is a painless method in view of the utilization of electrical potential at a steady low voltage to upgrade the conveyance of medication particles through organic layers. Studies with respect to the utilization of iontophoresis in dentistry are restricted. Cubayachi et al [67] researched the impact of iontophoresis as an actual strategy for pervasion upgrade of prilocaine hydrochloride (PCL) and lidocaine hydrochloride (LCL) in buccal mucosa. They presumed that applying iontophoresis to a semisolid detailing of this medication blend can act as a without needle procedure to speed the beginning and draw out the term of buccal sedation.

Fragrant healing

As of late, fragrant healing (use of fragrant unpredictable medicinal ointments for restorative impacts) has been expressed as a corresponding methodology in clinical and dental settings[68-70]. The idea of the helpful utilization of fragrant medicinal ointments is that it can create a positive physiological outcome through the feeling of smell. Fragrance based treatment can ease uneasiness side effects and agony in a cheap, straightforward way The smell of lavender medicinal balm might prompt diminished tension, and expanded sedation because of parasympathetic feeling [71]. Be that as it may, there was just two examinations assessing the impact of fragrant healing on torment insight during dental treatment including neighborhood sedation. The consequences of the two examinations showed huge

decrement in torment discernment connected with dental infusion following fragrance based treatment. Be that as it may, more examination is required [72-73].

Conclusion

Taking into account torment control is one of the main parts of conduct the board in pediatric dentistry, numerous procedural, social, and pharmacological techniques have been proposed for dental sedation infusions. Different procedures have been shown to be proficient in decreasing agony discernment during infusion, like LLLT, cooling methods, and CCLADS. Directing more clinical preliminaries to think about adequacy of relief from discomfort involving these methods in pediatric patients in comparable circumstances with precluding meddling variables, for example, kind of sedative arrangement, measure size of the needle, temperature and pH of the sedative arrangement, area of the infusion site, and the speed and measure of the infusion, is suggested.

Conflicts of Interest

The creators keep any struggles from getting interest connected with this review.

References

1. Dean JA, Jones J, Walker Vinson LQA. McDonald and Avery's Dentistry for the Child and Adolescent: Tenth Edition. Elsevier Inc., 2016. p 297-300.
2. Hofle M, Pomper U, Hauck M, Engel AK, Senkowski D. Spectral signatures of viewing a needle approaching one's body when anticipating pain. *The European journal of neuroscience*. 2013; 38(7): 3089-98.
3. Milgrom P, Coldwell SE, Getz T, Weinstein P, Ramsay DS. Four dimensions of fear of dental injections. *Journal of the American Dental Association* (1939). 1997; 128(6): 756-66.
4. Jagtap B, Bhate K, Magoo S, S NS, Gajendragadkar KS, Joshi S. Painless injections-a possibility with low level laser therapy. *Journal of dental anesthesia and pain medicine*. 2019; 19(3): 159-65.
5. Meechan JG, Howlett PC, Smith BD. Factors influencing the discomfort of intraoral needle penetration. *Anesthesia progress*. 2005; 52(3): 91-4.
6. Kudo M. Initial injection pressure for dental local anesthesia: effects on pain and anxiety. *Anesthesia progress*. 2005; 52(3): 95-101.
7. Wijk A, Hoogstraten J. Anxiety and pain during dental

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

- injections. *Journal of dentistry*. 2009; 37: 700-4.
8. Lathwal G, Pandit IK, Gugnani N, Gupta M. Efficacy of Different Precooling Agents and Topical Anesthetics on the Pain Perception during Intraoral Injection: A Comparative Clinical Study. *International journal of clinical pediatric dentistry*. 2015; 8(2): 119-22.
 9. Hameed N, Sargod S, Bhat S, Hegde S, Bava M. Effectiveness of precooling the injection site using tetrafluorethane on pain perception in children. *Journal of Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry*. 2018; 36 (3): 296-300.
 10. Hogan ME, vanderVaart S, Perampaladas K, Machado M, Einarson TR, Taddio A. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of warming local anesthetics on injection pain. *Annals of emergency medicine*. 2011; 58(1): 86-98.
 11. Malamed SF, Falkel M. Buffered local anaesthetics: the importance of pH and CO₂. *SAAD digest*. 2013; 29: 9-17.
 12. Ghaderi F, Banakar S, Rostami S. Effect of pre-cooling injection site on pain perception in pediatric dentistry: "A randomized clinical trial". *Dental research journal*. 2013; 10(6): 790-4.
 13. Kanaa MD, Meechan JG, Corbett IP, Whitworth JM. Speed of injection influences efficacy of inferior alveolar nerve blocks: a double-blind randomized controlled trial in volunteers. *Journal of endodontics*. 2006; 32 (10): 919-23.
 14. Touyz LZ, Lamontagne P, Smith BE. Pain and anxiety reduction using a manual stimulation distraction device when administering local analgesia oro-dental injections: a multi-center clinical investigation. *The Journal of clinical dentistry*. 2004; 15(3): 88-92.
 15. Kwak EJ, Pang NS, Cho JH, Jung BY, Kim KD, Park W. Computer-controlled local anesthetic delivery for painless anesthesia: a literature review. *Journal of dental anesthesia and pain medicine*. 2016; 16(2): 81-8.
 16. Gibson RS, Allen K, Hutfless S, Beiraghi S. The Wand vs. traditional injection: a comparison of pain related behaviors. *Pediatric dentistry*. 2000; 22(6): 458-62.
 17. Langthasa M, Yeluri R, Jain AA, Munshi AK. Comparison of the pain perception in children using comfort control syringe and a conventional injection technique during pediatric dental procedures. *Journal of the Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry*. 2012; 30(4): 323-8.
 18. Roeber B, Wallace DP, Rothe V, Salama F, Allen KD. Evaluation of the effects of the Vibraject attachment on pain in children receiving local anesthesia. *Pediatric dentistry*. 2011; 33 (1): 46-50.
 19. Ching D, Finkelman M, Loo CY. Effect of the DentalVibe injection system on pain during local anesthesia injections in adolescent patients. *Pediatric dentistry*. 2014; 36(1): 51-5.
 20. De Freitas LF, Hamblin MR. Proposed Mechanisms of Photobiomodulation or Low-Level Light Therapy. *IEEE journal of selected topics in quantum electronics: a publication of the IEEE Lasers and Electro-optics Society*. 2016; 22(3).
 21. Shapiro H, Harris L, Hetzel FW, Bar-Or D. Laser assisted delivery of topical anesthesia for intramuscular needle insertion in adults. *Lasers Surg Med* 2002; 31: 252-6.
 22. Yun PL, Tachihara R, Anderson RR. Efficacy of erbium:yttrium-aluminum garnet laser-assisted delivery of topical anesthetic. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2002; 47: 542-7.
 23. Ghaderi F, Ghaderi R, Davarmanesh M, Bayani M, Arabzade Moghadam S. Pain management during needle insertion with low level laser. *European journal of paediatric dentistry*. 2016; 17(2): 151-4.
 24. Walsh L. The current status of low level laser therapy in dentistry, Part 1. Soft tissue applications. *Australian dental journal*. 1997; 42(4): 247-54.
 25. Chow RT, Armati PJ. Armati PJ. Photobiomodulation: implications for anesthesia and pain relief. *Photomedicine and laser surgery*. 2016 Dec 1; 34(12): 599-609.
 26. Ozen T, Orhan K, Gorur I, Ozturk A. Efficacy of low level laser therapy on neurosensory recovery after injury to the inferior alveolar nerve. *Head & Face Medicine*. 2006 Dec 1; 2(1): 3.
 27. Duncan JD, Reeves GW, Fitchie JG. Technique to diminish discomfort from the palatal injection. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1992; 67: 901-2.
 28. Harleen Kaur Soni, Ruposhi Saha, Raj Prajapati, Shivani Pathak. The Effectiveness of Pre-Cooling the Injection Site in Alleviating the Pain of Oral Injections in Pediatric Subjects. *Acta Scientific Dental Sciences* 4.2 (2020): 01-05.

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

29. Kosaraju A, Vandewalle KS. A comparison of a refrigerant and a topical anesthetic gel as preinjection anesthetics: A clinical evaluation. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2009; 140: 68–72.
30. Russell SC, Doyle E. A risk-benefit assessment of topical percutaneous local anaesthetics in children. *Drug Saf.* 1997; 16: 279–287.
31. Bhadauria US, Dasar PL, Sandesh N, Mishra P, Godha S. Effect of injection site pre-cooling on pain perception in patients attending a dental camp at Life Line Express: a split mouth interventional study. *Clujul medical (1957).* 2017; 90(2): 220-5.
32. Aminabadi NA, Farahani RM. The effect of pre-cooling the injection site on pediatric pain perception during the administration of local anesthesia. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2009; 10: 43–50.
33. Bose S, Garg N, Pathivada L, Yeluri R. Cooling the soft tissue and its effect on perception of pain during infiltration and block anesthesia in children undergoing dental procedures: A comparative study. *Journal of dental research, dental clinics, dental prospects.* 13(3): 159-65.
34. Leff DR, Nortley M, Dang V, Bhutiani RP. The effect of local cooling on pain perception during infiltration of local anaesthetic agents, a prospective randomised controlled trial. *Anaesthesia.* 2007; 62: 677–82.
35. Harbert H. Topical ice: A precursor to palatal injections. *J Endod.* 1989; 15: 27–8.
36. Halar EM, DeLisa JA, Brozovich FV. Nerve conduction velocity: relationship of skin, subcutaneous and intramuscular temperatures. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1980; 61: 199–203.
37. Abramson DI, Chu LS, Tuck S Jr, Lee SW, Richardson G, Levin M. Effect of tissue temperatures and blood flow on motor nerve conduction velocity. *JAMA.* 1966; 198: 1082–8.
38. Hogan M-E, Vandervaart S, Perampaladas K, Machado M, Einarson T, Taddio A. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of the Effect of Warming Local Anesthetics on Injection Pain. *Annals of emergency medicine.* 2011; 58: 86-98.
39. Aravena PC, Barrientos C, Troncoso C, Coronado C, Sotelo-Hitschfeld P. Effect of warming anesthetic on pain perception during dental injection: a split-mouth randomized clinical trial. *Local and regional anesthesia.* 2018; 11: 9-13.
40. Ram D, Hermida L, Peretz B. A comparison of warmed and room-temperature anesthetic for local anesthesia in children. *Pediatric dentistry.* 2002; 24: 333-6.
41. Brignardello-Petersen R. Warming up anesthetic solution reduces pain after infiltration in the anterior zone in volunteers not receiving dental treatment. *The Journal of the American Dental Association.* 2018; 149(10): e139.
42. Gümüş H, Aydınbelge M. Evaluation of effect of warm local anesthetics on pain perception during dental injections in children: a split-mouth randomized clinical trial. *Clinical Oral Investigations.* 2019.
43. Liu F-C, Liou J-T, Day Y-J, Li AH, Yu H-P. Effect of warm lidocaine on the sensory onset of epidural anesthesia: a randomized trial. *Chang Gung Med J.* 2009; 32(6): 643-9.
44. Whitcomb M, Drum M, Reader A, Nusstein J, Beck M. A Prospective, Randomized, Double-Blind Study of the Anesthetic Efficacy of Sodium Bicarbonate Buffered 2% Lidocaine With 1 : 100,000 Epinephrine in Inferior Alveolar Nerve Blocks. *Anesthesia progress.* 2010; 57: 59-66.
45. Kashyap VM, Desai R, Reddy PB, Menon S. Effect of alkalinisation of lignocaine for intraoral nerve block on pain during injection, and speed of onset of anaesthesia. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.* 2011; 49(8): e72-e5.
46. Spivakovsky S. Buffered local anaesthetic and injection pain and onset time. *Evidence-Based Dentistry.* 2018; 19(3): 92.
47. Aulestia-Viera PV, Braga MM, Borsatti MA. The effect of adjusting the pH of local anaesthetics in dentistry: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Endodontic Journal.* 2018; 51(8): 862-76.
48. AH. D. Gate control theory of pain stands the test of time. *Br J Anaesth.* 2002; 88: 755–7.
49. Chaudhry K, Shishodia M, Singh C, Tuli A. Comparative evaluation of pain perception by vibrating needle (Vibraject™) and conventional syringe anesthesia during various dental procedures in pediatric patients: A short study. *International Dental & Medical Journal of Advanced Research - VOLUME 2015.* 2015; 1: 1-5.
50. Ching D, Finkelman M, Loo C. Effect of the DentalVibe Injection System on Pain During Local Anesthesia Injections In Adolescent Patients. *Pediatric dentistry.* 2014; 36: 51-5.

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

51. Shaefer J, Lee S, Anderson N. A Vibration Device to Control Injection Discomfort. *Compendium of continuing education in dentistry (Jamesburg, NJ : 1995)*. 2017; 38: e5-e8.
52. Shilpapiya M, Jayanthi M, Reddy VN, Sakthivel R, Selvaraju G, Vijayakumar P. Effectiveness of new vibration delivery system on pain associated with injection of local anesthesia in children. *J Indian SocPedodPrev Dent* 2015; 33: 173-6.
53. Roeber B, Wallace D, Rothe V, Salama F, Allen K. Evaluation of the Effects of the Vibraject Attachment on Pain in Children Receiving Local Anesthesia. *Pediatric dentistry*. 2011; 33: 46-50.
54. Elbay M, Şermet Elbay Ü, Yıldırım S, Uğurluel C, Kaya C, Baydemir C. Comparison of injection pain caused by the DentalVibe Injection System versus a traditional syringe for inferior alveolar nerve block anaesthesia in paediatric patients. *European journal of paediatric dentistry : official journal of European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry*. 2015; 16: 123-8.
55. Şermet Elbay Ü, Elbay M, Yıldırım S, Kaya E, Kaya C, Uğurluel C, et al. Evaluation of the injection pain with the use of DentalVibe injection system during suprapariosteal anaesthesia in children: a randomised clinical trial. *International journal of paediatric dentistry*. 2016; 26(5): 336-45.
56. Raslan N, Masri R. A randomized clinical trial to compare pain levels during three types of oral anesthetic injections and the effect of Dentalvibe(®) on injection pain in children. *International journal of paediatric dentistry*. 2017; 28.
57. Garret-Bernardin A, Cantile T, D'Antò V, Galanakis A, Fauxpoint G, Ferrazzano GF, et al. Pain Experience and Behavior Management in Pediatric Dentistry: A Comparison between Traditional Local Anesthesia and the Wand Computerized Delivery System. *Pain Research and Management*. 2017; 2017: 7941238.
58. Kwak E-J, Pang N-S, Cho J-H, Jung B-Y, Kim K-D, Park W. Computer-controlled local anesthetic delivery for painless anesthesia: a literature review. *Journal of dental anesthesia and pain medicine*. 2016; 16(2): 81-8.
59. Mittal M, Chopra R, Kumar A, Srivastava D. Comparison of Pain Perception Using Conventional Versus Computer-Controlled Intraligamentary Local Anesthetic Injection for Extraction of Primary Molars. *Anesthesia progress*. 2019; 66(2): 69-76.
60. Gajendragadkar K, Bhate K, Jagtap B, S.N S, Kshirsagar K, Magoo S. Making inferior alveolar nerve block more comfortable via computer-controlled local anesthetic delivery: A prospective clinical study. *Journal of dental anesthesia and pain medicine*. 2019; 19(3): 135-41.
61. Ghaderi F, Ahmadbeigi M. Pain Perception Due to Dental Injection by Smartject: Split Mouth Design Study. *Journal of Dentistry*. 2018; 19: 57-62.
62. Szmuk P, Szmuk E, Ezri T. Use of needle-free injection systems to alleviate needle phobia and pain at injection. *Expert review of pharmaco economics& outcomes research*. 2005; 5: 467-77.
63. Makade C, Sheno P, Gunwal M. Comparison of acceptance, preference and efficacy between pressure anesthesia and classical needle infiltration anesthesia for dental restorative procedures in adult patients. *Journal of conservative dentistry : JCD*. 2014; 17: 169-74.
64. Angelo Z, Polyvios C. Alternative practices of achieving anaesthesia for dental procedures: a review. *Journal of dental anesthesia and pain medicine*. 2018; 18(2): 79-88.
65. Oliveira ACA, Amorim KdS, Nascimento Júnior Emd, Duarte ACB, Groppo FC, Takeshita WM, et al. Assessment of anesthetic properties and pain during needleless jet injection anesthesia: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Applied Oral Science*. 2019; 27.
66. El Tawil, Sherief B, El Dokky, Norhan A. Effect of Jet injection (INJEX) on pain perception among a group of pediatric dental patients. *Egyptian Dental Journal*. 2018; 64(Issue 3 - July (Orthodontics, Pediatric & Preventive Dentistry)): 1933-9.
67. Cubayachi C, O. Couto R, Gaitani C, Pedrazzi V, Freitas O, Lopez R. Needle-free buccal anesthesia using iontophoresis and amino amide salts combined in a mucoadhesive formulation. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*. 2015; 136.
68. Choi J, Lee HW, Lee JA, Lim HJ, Lee MS. Aromatherapy for managing menopausal symptoms: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2018; 97(6): e9792.
69. Karaman T, Karaman S, Dogru S, Tapar H, Sahin A, Suren M, et al. Evaluating the efficacy of lavender aromatherapy on peripheral venous cannulation pain and anxiety: A prospective, randomized study. *Complementary therapies in clinical practice*. 2016; 23: 64-8.

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

70. Pradopo S, Sinaredi BR, Januarisca BV. Pandan Leaves (*PandanusAmaryllifolius*) aromatherapy and relaxation music to reduce dental anxiety of pediatric patients. *Journal of International Dental and Medical Research*. 2017; 10: 933-7.
71. Zabirunnisa M, Gadagi JS, Gadde P, Myla N, Koneru J, Thatimatla C. Dental patient anxiety: Possible deal with Lavender fragrance. *Journal of research in pharmacy practice*. 2014; 3(3): 100-3.
72. Arslan I, Aydinoglu S, Karan NB. Can lavender oil inhalation help to overcome dental anxiety and pain in children? A randomized clinical trial. *European journal of pediatrics*. 2020;179 (6): 985-92.
73. Ghaderi F, Solhjou N. The effects of lavender aromatherapy on stress and pain perception in children during dental treatment: A randomized clinical trial. *Complementary therapies in clinical practice*. 2020; 40: 101182.