

Calibrated Implant Stability Quotients of Posterior Implants

John Oliver

Department of Dentistry, Harvard University, USA

***Corresponding author**

John Oliver, Department of Dentistry, Harvard University, USA

Received Date: August 03 2022

Accepted Date: August 05 2022

Published Date: September 05 2022

Abstract

Purpose: Many careful conventions were altered to further develop dental embed essential dependability for the ensurance of embed achievement. Nonetheless, the finishes of applying osteotome buildup procedure could upgrade embed steadiness were disputable. The assessed ISQs were aligned to separate the solidness improvement that applied by fluctuated careful method and bone quality at beneficiary destinations. Thusly, this study was mean to look at the creating examples of adjusted ISQ values actuated by osteotome bone buildup and regular boring procedure at the back edges.

Materials and Methods: The ISQ upsides of 4.1/4.8 mm measurement inserts were adjusted by which of 3.3 mm width treatment embed (ISQb). Osteotome buildup procedure was applied on the locales with ISQb ≤ 65 , and the areas with ISQb > 65 were treated with regular boring strategy. The embed ISQ upsides of at Week 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 were recorded. The creating examples of recognized and aligned ISQ values for the two methods at the two curves were genuinely examined.

Results: Maxillary fourteen inserts and mandibular 16 inserts utilizing osteotome procedure, maxillary 15 inserts and mandibular 16 inserts by traditional penetrating strategy were examined. The two methods showed a by and large comparative ISQ creating design at the two curves. Without alignment, fundamentally less ISQ values were noted for the osteotome procedure of back maxilla at introductory a month; consequently, the two methods introduced a tantamount ISQ creating design. Osteotome strategy exhibited a more prominent ISQ increment after adjustment on the two curves ($p < 0.05$). All inserts arrived at an ISQ dependability level between Week 8 and 10.

Conclusions: Based on our adjusted and estimated ISQ values, osteotome buildup strategy possibly upgraded more noteworthy essential and optional dependability (expanded ISQ values) for the inserts at the two curves.

Keywords

embed dependability, osteotome bone buildup, edentulous back maxilla and mandible

Key discoveries from the review

the osteotome bone buildup method can significantly expand essential and auxiliary embed locales mending at back region on the two curves

Introduction

Clinically, dental embed medicines are unsurprising and empowered. Be that as it may, concerning the bone state of the beneficiary locales, the achievement paces of embed treatment were varied among maxillary and mandibular, as well as among front and back ridges [1, 2]. Bone quality and embed essential strength connected with the embed endurance altogether. The edges with unfortunate bone quality at the treatment site could think twice about's essential soundness; thusly, an obstructed auxiliary strength or embed disappointment could follow [3-5].

Numerous careful conventions were altered to further develop embed essential dependability, for example, adding development factors, small penetrating strategy, piezo-medical procedure, low-level laser, and osteotome gathering methods. Summers originally introduced the osteotome procedure to oblige dental inserts into low-thickness alveolar edges. Osteotome buildup compacted the trabecular bones along the side and apically to save existing bone, forestall an excessive amount of bone evacuation, diminish heat creation, increment neighborhood bone thickness, and further develop embed solidness [6, 7].

By the by, it was expressed that there was as yet a frail or absence of proof to demonstrate whether a particular careful strategy could fundamentally influence embed stability [8].

The finishes of applying osteotome buildup method could upgrade embed dependability were dubious. In a few creature tests, osteotome buildup accomplished a higher embed obsession by expanding bone thickness as opposed to the regular boring strategy did [9-12]. In any case, the miniature bone breaks related with osteotome buildup around embed prompted deficient bone recovery, impeded issue that remains to be worked out contact, and diminished embed

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

dependability were noted in a few histological confirmations [13, 14]. Clinically, osteotome buildup further developed embed strength in a few momentary perceptions, while no extra present moment or long haul benefits uncovered in different examinations [15-20].

Restricted clinical examinations talked about the impact of applying osteotome buildup on embed soundness in the back mandible. To stay away from negative impaction, past examinations applied osteotome buildup on D3/D4 issue that remains to be worked out the embed steadiness significantly after alignment [15, 21-23].

Initially, the suggested mending time frames prior to stacking were a half year for maxillary inserts and 90 days for mandibular implants [24]. Notwithstanding, these conventions have been adjusted in view of the improvement of embed materials and careful strategies. The objective of the mending is to accomplish an embed osseointegration at the light infinitesimal level [25]. The mending system included mechanical and organic unique modifications among embed and tissue interface. Embed steadiness somewhat address the situation with embed mending which can be assessed quantitatively through reverberation recurrence examination (RFA). The RFA was recorded as an embed steadiness remainder (ISQ) and offered a proposed benefit during mending; this ISQ was considered as a dependable reference to assess embed stability [26].

As far as we could possibly know, just not many distributed information contrasting the embed recuperating designs following the customary penetrating and osteotome buildup strategies with and without embed steadiness remainder alignment in maxillary and mandibular back regions.

The motivation behind this study was to look at the mending examples of applying osteotome bone buildup and regular penetrating strategies by estimating with and without aligned ISQ upsides of dental inserts set at back edges for the two curves during a 12-week perception period.

Materials and Methods

Patient Selection

Patients with missing maxillary and mandibular premolars or molars required dental embed therapies in the Department of Periodontics, Dental Section of Chang Gung Memorial LinKou Medical Center were enlisted. Rejection models were 1) presence of foundational sicknesses that could influence wound mending (cardiovascular illness, uncontrolled diabetes: HbA1c >7.4%, osteoporosis, history of head and neck radiation treatment, and immunosuppressant treatment); 2) weighty smokers (>10 cigarettes each day); 3) embed destinations with <3 long periods of recuperating time after tooth extraction; 4) history of directed bone recovery (GBR) therapy or requiring GBR therapy in the event that any surface of the embed showed a hard imperfection; 5) embed ISQ esteem was imperceptible; 6) uncooperative patients who couldn't follow the booked review arrangements. This study was autonomously investigated and endorsed by

the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Chang Gung Medical Foundation (No. 201700018B0C601) and upheld by Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CMRPG3H0531). The review was directed as per the Declaration of Helsinki and the Guidelines on Good Clinical Practice [27].

Careful Protocols and Data Collection

Before careful intercession, patients were painstakingly inspected and assessed utilizing radiographs (periapical films, all encompassing x-beam films or potentially figured tomography). Beginning contamination control treatment and oral cleanliness guidance were advertised. Dental embed treatment was performed with patients' marked assent, as per the IRB rules, and routine clinical methods consented to careful rules of the Sraumann convention. With satisfactory neighborhood sedation, the fold was raised. The embed beneficiary locales were at first set apart with a round bramble to enter the cortical bone and afterward pre-arranged utilizing 2.2-mm and 2.8-mm pilot drills. Consequently, a 3.3-mm breadth embed was set and the reverberation recurrence of this embed was estimated utilizing an Osstell Mentor (Integration Diagnostics AB, Gothenburg, Sweden), and this ISQ esteem was recorded as the ISQ pattern (ISQb).

The 3.3-mm breadth apparatus was then removed and the different surgeries were proceeded in light of the ISQb. The ISQb upsides of 3.3 mm distance across embed were utilized for the alignment of the 4.1/4.8 mm width treatment inserts at beneficiary destinations.

Members with bone nature of ISQb ≤ 65 were dispensed to the osteotome bone buildup bunch, and the embed destinations were hence pre-arranged utilizing osteotome instruments involving a progression of osteotomes with expanding measurements until the last width and profundity were gotten. At last, the arranged 4.1-mm or 4.8-mm breadth inserts were labeled in and the ISQ values were recorded. Then again, the alveolar edges with bone nature of ISQb >65 in ordinary boring gathering were ready by utilizing of 3.5 mm and 4.2 mm drills as opposed to applying osteotome bone buildup for arranged 4.1-mm and 4.8-mm measurement embeds separately. The ISQ worth of the last introduced inserts were recorded as ISQ0. At long last, a suitable mending projection was sunk the embed and the injury was shut utilizing a 4-0 vicryl stitch (Ethicon, Sommerville, NJ, USA). Postoperative injury care and oral cleanliness directions were given, and anti-microbials (amoxicillin 500 mg/threefold everyday for 7 days), analgesics (acetaminophen 500 mg or ibuprofen 400 mg depending on the situation for 7 days), and 0.12% chlorhexidine flush (two times day to day) were recommended to the patients. Stitches were taken out 14 days after the activity.

The embed strength remainders were recorded at weeks 1 (ISQ1), 2 (ISQ2), 3 (ISQ3), 4 (ISQ4), 6 (ISQ6), 8 (ISQ8), 10 (ISQ10), and 12 (ISQ12) after embed establishment. The ISQ esteem was gotten as a mean worth of six ISQ readings at the buccomesial, buccal, buccodistal, linguomesial, lingual, and linguodistal parts of the singular embed.

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

Measurable Analysis

Mann-Whitney U-test was utilized to decide the meaning of the identified and adjusted ISQ values between the two careful gatherings and between the maxilla and mandible. The adjusted ISQ values at two different time-foci were looked at utilizing the matched t-test. The fundamental outcomes between gatherings of the two curves were evaluated involving rehashed measure ANOVA for the inconsistent time spans between appraisals. All measurable examinations were performed utilizing the SPSS rendition 20.0 programming (SPSS, Inc., IBM, USA). Contrasts were viewed as genuinely huge when the P-values were <0.05 .

Results

Absolutely, 61 Straumann SLA inserts of 4.1/4.8 mm measurement and 10/12 mm length in 44 patients were examined in this review. In the back maxilla, 14 inserts were situated utilizing the osteotome strategy, while 15 apparatuses were introduced utilizing the traditional penetrating procedure. In the back mandible, 16 embeds each were introduced utilizing the osteotome strategy and traditional penetrating procedure separately. 34 4.1-mm width inserts were similarly conveyed in the ordinary and osteotome bunches while 13 and 14 of 4.8-mm breadth inserts were disseminated into the customary and osteotome bunches separately. Just 5 and 4 of 12-mm length inserts were remembered for the customary and osteotome bunches separately. The mean age of the 44 assessed patients was 52.38 ± 11.26 years (range 28-75 years) and 56.9% were females. One individual in the osteotome gathering and three in the customary gathering were current smokers.

The underlying bone quality at the beneficiary destinations (ISQb) of the osteotome bunch was essentially less fortunate than that of the regular gathering in the two curves ($p < 0.001$ versus $p = 0.003$ in the maxilla and mandible). In the back maxilla, essentially lower ISQs values before adjustment were noted with the osteotome method during the underlying a month, besides at week 2; in this manner, similar ISQ readings created in both osteotome and traditional boring gatherings. (Table 1 a versus e; Figure 1 a) However, the distinctions of ISQ values estimated at the back mandible were immaterial between the two careful procedures bunches during the perception time frames. (Table 1 c versus g; Figure 1b) Generally, when the 3.3-mm distance across embed adjustment was considered, osteotome procedure yielded measurably more noteworthy ISQ esteem increases than regular strategy did in the two curves. While, the creating designs patterns of aligned ISQ values were comparable for the two methods applied on the two curves. (Table 1 b versus f, d versus h; Figure 2).

Osteotome Condensation

Altogether lower ISQ values were distinguished in the maxillary osteotome bunch besides at weeks 1 and 2 contrasted with mandible. (Table 1 a versus e) The expanded ISQ upsides of

osteotome buildup were more prominent after adjustment for the two curves. (Table 1 b versus f; d versus h) The creating examples of recognized and aligned ISQs were comparative for osteotome method applied on the two curves. (Table 1 a versus c and b versus d; Figure 3).

Regular Technique

In regular gathering, the contrast between the identified and adjusted ISQ values between the two curves was unimportant, with the exception of a more noteworthy distinguished ISQ esteem at week 10. (Table 1 e versus g) Some fundamentally more prominent adjusted ISQs after week 10 was noted in the mandible. (Table 1 f versus h) Primary dependability declined clearly during weeks 0-2 in the maxilla, while the essential soundness of mandibular gathering diminished during weeks 2-4. (Figure 4).

Adjusted ISQ

A huge contrast of the adjusted ISQ values was noted among week 3-4 and week 4-6 of the maxillary inserts in osteotome bunch (Table 2). The creating example of adjusted ISQs portrayed a huge increment from week 3 and arrived at a level at week 6. (Figure 2b) However, the embed essential solidness diminished unimportantly after establishment at week 1 and week 2 in the customary penetrating gathering of back maxilla. From that point, the embed strength expanded continually until ISQs arrived at a level example at week 8. (Table 2; Figure 2a) In the back mandible, a huge increment of ISQ values were examined from week 4 to 10 for both careful gatherings prior to arriving at a plateau (Table 2; Figure 2b). In general, the aligned ISQ values in the two curves advanced contrastingly in the intra-bunch and between bunch correlation during the perception time frame. Contrasted with mandibular inserts, the expanded measure of ISQ values from ISQ0 to ISQ12 with osteotome buildup were essentially more noteworthy than that with the customary method applied on back maxilla (Table 3).

Discussion

As referenced above, past investigations showed inconsistent impacts about whether osteotome buildup strategy added to increment essential and additionally auxiliary embed security.

Osteotome Condensation Positively Enhance Implant Stability

Our outcomes showed that osteotome buildup not just considerably expanded essential and auxiliary estimated ISQs of the inserts introduced at back maxillary and mandibular regions, yet additionally could accomplish an embed steadiness practically identical to that of the customary penetrating method did.

Comprising with our perception, Markovic et al. surveyed the inserts set at the back maxilla with type III-IV bone and showed that the osteotome method fundamentally worked on the essential and optional solidness of the inserts during

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

the whole 12-week perception period.¹⁷ Whereas, Shayestech et al. found that the osteotome strategy expanded essential solidness just for the inserts set in the kind II-III bone at the front maxilla, and without a huge effect on optional stability[18].

The two investigations gave positive meanings to help utilizing osteotome buildup could upgrade embed security. Disparately, places of maxillary embed introduced locales were conflicting with our own in one review. The maxillary bone quality at embed locales of the two investigations was not standardized and the impacts of osteotome buildup on mandibular embed steadiness were not tried.

Osteotome Condensation Improved Implant Stability Irrelevantly

The embed strong qualities at back maxilla investigated by traditional boring and osteotome buildup correlations showed an immaterial contrasts in a few clinical preliminaries with little example size[19, 20]. In addition, osteotome buildup possibly compromised embed essential security remainder altogether at front maxilla[16]. Be that as it may, bone characteristics at the embed destinations were not shown or normalized before correlation in these examinations.

Potential Expositions Attributed To The Inconsistency

Endless bone quality at the embed beneficiary locales, (Padmanabhan et al. furthermore, Sadeghi et al.) [16, 19] and the little example size remembered for the examinations (Padmanabhan et al. furthermore, Xing et al.) [16, 20] could set off measurable deviation and cause a recognizable different result. The results of the osteotome buildup application on inserts with different large scale apparatus and miniature surface designs could likewise actuate changes [10]. Besides, just restricted reports talked about individual elements of between or intra-administrators, and how much power with the osteotome applied on the embed locales by specialists previously. Over-burden (>20 MPa) was disastrous to the recipient destinations and started a more extended time of angiogenesis and bone fixing. Conversely, a physiological adoptable pressure could invigorate a system of injury subordinate bone fix which was unique in relation to the course of bone fix related with ordinary boring technique[18]. In this manner, a suitable buildup force is fundamental to further develop the embed security of osteotome method.

Dependability Patterns Without Calibration

For the most part, osteotome and traditional methods introduced a comparable example of ISQ related soundness. (Figure 1) An increment after embed situation was trailed by a perceptible ISQ decrease at week 2 and 4 for the ordinary boring gathering of the maxillary and mandibular inserts, individually. (Figure 1 and 4) These examples to some extent corresponded with the perceptions of past examinations that an ISQ esteem drop happened during week 3-4 after embed placement[28, 29]. Comprising with the notable finding[30], a diminished mechanical essential strength and an expanded

natural optional security likewise happened at our previous embed treatment. Nonetheless, an assessable ISQ decline was not recognized at the two curves in the osteotome bunch at prior recuperating stage in this review. (Figure 1) An underlying higher essential steadiness and a more unfortunate bone quality at the back maxilla sped up the lessening of essential solidness of ordinary boring gathering in this review; which was concurred with past examinations, the dependability of the inserts with a low ISQ0 (ISQ<68) expanded steadily during the mending system, while the soundness diminished in inserts with high beginning strength (ISQ>72) [31, 32]. In any case, the imperceptible diminished ISQ steadiness related with the essential soundness was repaid possibly by osteotome buildup.

In the osteotome gatherings, the two curves introduced a comparable creating example of the identified ISQs; by and by, a fundamentally unique ISQ values among maxilla and mandible were estimated. A higher bone thickness at mandible somewhat portray the event.

Soundness Patterns of Calibrated ISQs

To keep away from the possible impact of the bone quality at embed beneficiary destinations at the two curves and for the two procedures, ISQ values were aligned. Relating to osteotome buildup could improve embed steadiness at back mandible[22, 23], this concentrate likewise checked that the creating examples of adjusted ISQs uncovered a significantly higher ISQ esteem in the osteotome bunch for the two curves with a lower bone thickness at standard. (Figure 2) The creating examples of adjusted ISQ values at the two curves showed a similar increment, and upheld that osteotome buildup procedure was relevant on the two curves with an underlying low bone density(Figure 3b).

In the traditional gatherings, the creating examples of the adjusted ISQ values with two curves were different elusively. Notwithstanding, a by and large higher however irrelevant aligned ISQs on the mandible proposed that the denser mandibular bone brought about a superior embed mending as introduced (Table 1, f vs.h; Figure 4b).

Estimation Effects After ISQ Calibration

ISQ values were impacted by many elements, for example, embed position method, embed configuration, mending time, and uncovered embed level over the alveolar crest[33]. In one careful gathering, the bone quality/amount at beneficiary site was the significant variable to conclude ISQ values among people. By utilizing the edge of ISQ_b = 65 estimation, bone nature of this examination was sorted into a thick or a free division; and this mean ISQ_b esteem (64.90) was near the estimations surveyed by past studies[15, 34]. Dental cone pillar processed tomography is a legitimate machine to evaluate the bone quality at embed locales; in any case, ISQ_b assessment likewise gave another option and site-explicit strategy to investigate the bone quality. A critical relationship between's bone thickness and ISQ scales was reported[35]. As per the aligned ISQ values, the ramifications of various

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

embed site readiness procedures on embed solidness could be additionally examined.

Commitment of Different Implant Length and Width

Inserts with two widths (4.1/4.8 mm) and two lengths (10/12 mm) were concentrated on in this review. The impacts of embed length and width on the ISQ esteem appeared to fluctuate between the studies[33]. Since one review showed that there were no critical ISQ fluctuations seen when the distinction of embed length was ± 2 mm, [15] we didn't avoid 12-mm long embeds from our review. Barikani et al. uncovered that the ISQ upsides of 4.3-mm and 5.0-mm measurement stage inserts were similar[36]. Furthermore, the same number of 4.1-mm and 4.8-mm breadth inserts between the careful gatherings to some degree decline measurable fluctuations.

Relationship Between's Insertion Torque and ISQ

Both inclusion force test and reverberation recurrence investigation (RFA) are possible to quantize embed essential strength. Addition force test mirrors how much consumed electric flow during tapping inclusion embed; and is associated with bone thickness, embed site arrangement procedure, and embed macrostructure[37, 38]. Sennerby and Meredith[3, 39] first presented RFA for evaluating insert dependability. It estimates the connected strength of the embed, encompassing bone, and inflexibility of the embed bone association. The economically accessible item (Osstell Mentor, Integration Diagnostic AB, Goteborg, Sweden) was applied in this study[38].

A huge and positive connection between's inclusion force and ISQ have been proposed[40, 41]; nonetheless, inclusion force test is infeasible to assess the natural optional security of embedded inserts. Different ISQ estimations of the embed could audit the powerful ISQ changes during recuperating periods and show the fitting stacking time point[42].

Connection Between's Reverse Torque and ISQ

Invert force test was performed during projection association treatment; [43] a basic shear pressure was presented between the embed bone point of interaction and disengage the osseointegrated embed. Inserts could pivot and were shown to be taken out. Despite the fact that it was guaranteed that the opposite force between 45-58 Ncm didn't increase embed disappointment plausibility, it was an intrusive test and caused a peri-embed plastic deformation[44]. The downside of this preliminary was that it just gave the data with respect to regardless of whether embed was osseointegrated, how much osseointegration couldn't be quantized[37, 38, 45].

Thusly, a straightforward and painless technique is demonstrated to survey the embed soundness, like RFA. Nonetheless, a compelling insert treatment doesn't rely upon ISQ test essentially. Different assessments, for example, radiographic investigation and clinical assessment are required.

Limits of the review

Little example size selected in this study could cause measurable variety, the outcomes ought to be deciphered with alert. Regardless of the impediments of this review, it exhibited a significant increment of the essential and optional soundness alongside a more limited recuperating time and arrive at a strength level.

Conclusion

In light of our aligned and identified ISQ values, this study showed that osteotome build up considerably expanded essential and optional estimated ISQs of the inserts introduced at back maxillary and mandibular regions. Osteotome condensed inserts could accomplish an embed solidness practically identical to that of the ordinary penetrating strategy and arrived at a security level after week 8-10.

References

1. Adell R, Eriksson B, Lekholm U, Brånemark PI, Jemt T. Long-term follow-up study of osseointegrated implants in the treatment of totally edentulous jaws. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 1990; 5: 347-359.
2. Becker W, Becker BE, Alsuwyed A, Al-Mubarak S. Long-term evaluation of 282 implants in maxillary and mandibular molar positions: a prospective study. *J Periodontol.* 1999; 70: 896-901.
3. Meredith N. Assessment of implant stability as a prognostic determinant. *Int J Prosthodont.* 1998; 11: 491-501.
4. Turkyilmaz I, McGlumphy EA. Influence of bone density on implant stability parameters and implant success: a retrospective clinical study. *BMC Oral Health.* 2008; 8: 32.
5. Javed F, Ahmed HB, Crespi R, Romanos GE. Role of primary stability for successful osseointegration of dental implants: Factors of influence and evaluation. *Interv Med Appl Sci.* 2013; 5: 162-167.
6. Summers RB. A new concept in maxillary implant surgery: the osteotome technique. *Compendium.* 1994; 15: 152, 154-156, 158 passim; quiz 162.
7. Summers RB. The osteotome technique: Part 2-- The ridge expansion osteotomy (REO) procedure. *Compendium.* 1994; 15: 422, 424, 426, passim; quiz 436.
8. Shadid RM, Sadaqah NR, Othman SA. Does the Implant Surgical Technique Affect the Primary and/or

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

- Secondary Stability of Dental Implants? A Systematic Review. *Int J Dent*. 2014; 2014: 204838.
9. Hahn J. Clinical uses of osteotomes. *J Oral Implantol*. 1999; 25: 23-29.
 10. Shalabi MM, Wolke JG, Jansen JA. The effects of implant surface roughness and surgical technique on implant fixation in an in vitro model. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2006; 17: 172-178.
 11. Shalabi MM, Manders P, Mulder J, Jansen JA, Creugers NH. A meta-analysis of clinical studies to estimate the 4.5-year survival rate of implants placed with the osteotome technique. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2007; 22: 110-116.
 12. Blanco J, Suárez J, Novio S, Villaverde G, Ramos I, Segade LA. Histomorphometric assessment in human cadavers of the peri-implant bone density in maxillary tuberosity following implant placement using osteotome and conventional techniques. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2008; 19: 505-510.
 13. Büchter A, Kleinheinz J, Wiesmann HP, Jayaranan M, Joos U, Meyer U. Interface reaction at dental implants inserted in condensed bone. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2005; 16: 509-517.
 14. Büchter A, Kleinheinz J, Wiesmann HP, Kersken J, Nienkemper M, Weyhrother H, et al. Biological and biomechanical evaluation of bone remodelling and implant stability after using an osteotome technique. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2005; 16: 1-8.
 15. Peng YC, Hong HH, Kuo SB, Shen YF, Chang YM. Factors affecting primary implant stability examined by resonance frequency analysis. *Taiwan J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2009; 20: 244-245.
 16. Padmanabhan TV, Gupta RK. Comparison of crestal bone loss and implant stability among the implants placed with conventional procedure and using osteotome technique: a clinical study. *J Oral Implantol*. 2010; 36: 475-483.
 17. Marković A, Calasan D, Colić S, Stojčev-Stajčić L, Janjić B, Mišić T. Implant stability in posterior maxilla: bone-condensing versus bone-drilling: a clinical study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2011; 112: 557-563.
 18. Shayesteh YS, Khojasteh A, Siadat H, Monzavi A, Bassir SH, Hossaini M, et al. A comparative study of crestal bone loss and implant stability between osteotome and conventional implant insertion techniques: a randomized controlled clinical trial study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*. 2013; 15: 350-357.
 19. Sadeghi R, Rokn AR, Miremadi A. Comparison of Implant Stability Using Resonance Frequency Analysis: Osteotome Versus Conventional Drilling. *J Dent (Tehran)*. 2015; 12: 647-654.
 20. Xing Y, Khandelwal N, Petrov S, Drew HJ, Mupparapu M. Resonance frequency analysis (RFA) and insertional torque (IT) stability comparisons of implants placed using osteotomes versus drilling techniques: A preliminary case study. *Quintessence Int*. 2015; 46: 789-798.
 21. Lin YT, Huang YC, Lee LH, Hong HH. A Comparison of Osteotome Technique and Conventional Bone Preparation on Implant Stability Quotient Value in the Posterior Mandible. *Taiwan J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2011; 22: 247-256.
 22. Lin YT, Hong A, Peng YC, Hong HH. Developing Stability of Posterior Mandibular Implants Placed With Osteotome Expansion Technique Compared With Conventional Drilling Techniques. *J Oral Implantol*. 2017; 43: 131-138.
 23. Hong HH, Hong A, Yang LY, Chang WY, Huang YF, Lin YT. Implant Stability Quotients of Osteotome Bone Expansion and Conventional Drilling Technique for 4.1 mm Diameter Implant at Posterior Mandible. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*. 2017; 19: 253-260.
 24. Adell R, Lekholm U, Rockler B, Brånemark PI. A 15-year study of osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. *Int J Oral Surg*. 1981; 10: 387-416.
 25. Brånemark PI, Hansson BO, Adell R, Breine U, Lindström J, Hallén O, et al. Osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. Experience from a 10-year period. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Suppl*. 1977; 16: 1-132.
 26. Zanetti EM, Pascoletti G, Cali M, Bignardi C, Franceschini G. Clinical Assessment of Dental Implant Stability During Follow-Up: What Is Actually Measured, and Perspectives. *Biosensors (Basel)*. 2018; 8: 68.
 27. Morris K. Revising the Declaration of Helsinki. *The Lancet* 2013; 381: 1889-1890.
 28. Huwiler MA, Pjetursson BE, Bosshardt DD, Salvi GE, Lang NP. Resonance frequency analysis in relation to jawbone characteristics and during early healing of implant installation. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2007; 18: 275-280.

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

29. BoronatLópez A, BalaguerMartínez J, Lamas Pelayo J, Carrillo García C, PeñarrochaDiago M. Resonance frequency analysis of dental implant stability during the healing period. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2008; 13: E244-247.
30. Atsumi M, Park SH, Wang HL. Methods used to assess implant stability: current status. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2007; 22: 743-754.
31. Simunek A, Strnad J, Kopecka D, Brazda T, Pilathadka S, Chauhan R, et al. Changes in stability after healing of immediately loaded dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2010; 25: 1085-1092.
32. Reza M, Alireza S, Hamed K, Amin K. Relationship and changes of primary and secondary stability in dental implants: A review. *International Journal of Contemporary Dental and Medical Reviews*. 2017.
33. Sennerby L. Resonance frequency analysis for implant stability measurements. A review. *IntegrDiagn Update*. 2015; 1: 1-11.
34. Ostman PO, Hellman M, Wendelhag I, Sennerby L. Resonance frequency analysis measurements of implants at placement surgery. *Int J Prosthodont* 2006; 19: 77-83; discussion 84.
35. Herekar M, Sethi M, Ahmad T, Fernandes AS, Patil V, Kulkarni H. A correlation between bone (B), insertion torque (IT), and implant stability (S): BITS score. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2014; 112: 805-810.
36. Barikani H, Rashtak S, Akbari S, Badri S, Daneshparvar N, Rokn A. The effect of implant length and diameter on the primary stability in different bone types. *J Dent (Tehran)*. 2013; 10: 449-455.
37. Saurabh G, Rubina K. Implant Stability Measure: Critical Review of Various Methods. *Dent Implants Dentures*. 2016; 1: 110-113.
38. Swami V, Vijayaraghavan V, Swami V. Current trends to measure implant stability. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc*. 2016; 16: 124-130.
39. SennerbyL, Meredith N. Resonance frequency analysis: measuring implant stability and osseointegration. *CompendContinEduc Dent*. 1998; 19: 493-498, 500, 502; quiz 504.
40. Sarfaraz H, Johri S, Sucheta P, Rao S. Study to assess the relationship between insertion torque value and implant stability quotient and its influence on timing of functional implant loading. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc*. 2018; 18: 139-146.
41. Baldi D, Lombardi T, Colombo J, Cervino G, Perinetti G, Di Lenarda R, et al. Correlation between Insertion Torque and Implant Stability Quotient in Tapered Implants with Knife-Edge Thread Design. *BioMed research international*. 2018; 2018: 7201093.
42. McCullough JJ, Klokkevold PR. The effect of implant macro-thread design on implant stability in the early post-operative period: a randomized, controlled pilot study. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2017; 28: 1218-1226.
43. Roberts WE. Bone tissue interface. *J Dent Educ*. 1988; 52: 804-809.
44. Sullivan DY, Sherwood RL, Collins TA, Krogh PH. The reverse-torque test: a clinical report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 1996; 11: 179-185.
45. Kazazoglu E. An Overview of Implant Stability Measurement. *Mod App Dent Oral Health* 2018; 2: 212-215.