Research Article

Insights of Clinical and Medical Images

Undergraduate Healthcare of Interprofessional Learning

Esther Rani*

Department of Psychology & Counselling, Sri Lanka

***Corresponding author**

Mitchel John, Department of Psychology & Counselling, Sri lanka,
E-mai: estherrani@gmail.com

Received Date: July 29 2022
Accepted Date: July 31 2022
Published Date: August 30 2022

Abstract

Introduction: Interprofessional advancing principally intends to diminish bias among experts, further develop attention to the jobs and obligations of other expert gatherings, and advance cooperation and cooperative abilities. This study was directed to evaluate the impression of undergrad medical services proficient understudies on interprofessional training/learning in Sri Lanka.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional and enlightening scientific review directed in 2016 on 300 undergrad medical services proficient understudies. The review populace comprised of fourth-year undergrad medical care proficient understudies' in four principal proficient degree programs - medication, nursing, drug store and word related treatment - across two chose Universities in Sri Lanka. Quantitative information were gathered through a 19-thing, approved and changed survey. 'the Readiness for Inter-Professional Learning Scale (RIPLS)' containing four subscales: cooperation and coordinated effort, negative proficient character, positive expert personality and jobs and obligations. Means and standard deviation (SD) of the scores were determined. Every one of the examinations were done utilizing SPSS form 20.

Results: The absolute mean score for every one of the four things was M= 69.15 and SD =10.97). The RIPLS complete score was essentially unique between sexes (P= .028). Factual distinction was distinguished among subscales and sexes. To contrasting understudies' RIPLS all out scores by degree projects of study a massive distinction was recognized between every degree programs (P= <.001) because of gathering size are inconsistent. Looking at every degree programs consequences of the nursing understudies show higher complete score as 72.08 and the drug store understudies' featured most minimal mean score as 61.08. The respondents somewhere in the range of 29.7% and 53% gave the most noteworthy rating for cooperation and coordinated effort as firmly concur. For negative proficient character, the most minimal rating by 22-27% as firmly clash. The most elevated rating detailed as 26.7-32% for the things in sure expert character and for jobs and obligations the most elevated rating was demonstrated as 10-31.3% and the least appraising were 4.7-23.3%.

End: This examination delivered the degree of undergrad medical care understudies' availability for interprofessional learning in Sri Lanka.

Introduction

'Interprofessional training' was viewed as above and beyond on than 'shared-learning' models, with the focal point of IPE being on cooperative practice and 'on intelligent advancing between the different expert gatherings included' [1]. In the UK the idea created close by the development of CAIPE (Center for the Advancement of Interprofessional Education), which was liable for the meaning of IPE as it is currently generally usually perceived; where at least two callings 'learn with, from and about one another to further develop joint effort and the nature of care' [2, 3]. Likewise, for the WHO (2010) "IPE happens when at least two callings find out about, from and with one another to empower compelling cooperation and further develop wellbeing results" [4]. Further, Barr (2010) delineated how IPE turns into a blend of the qualities, thoughts, and capacities of every single partaking calling, while for CIHC (in full?), (2010) interprofessional training (IPE) is a fundamental methodology for medical services understudies who are planning for their expert work as well concerning medical care representatives to give patients' consideration in a cooperative group climate [5]. Besides, Interprofessional schooling is characterized by Thistlethwaite, (2012) as a common growth opportunity among wellbeing calling understudies across disciplines, with the objectives of expert distinguishing proof areas of strength for of groups and the improvement wellbeing results. For the Cochrane Collaboration (2013), interdisciplinary guidance is: "an IPE mediation when individuals from more than one wellbeing or potentially friendly consideration calling learn intuitively together, for the unequivocal reason for improving interprofessional coordinated effort and additionally the wellbeing/prosperity of patients/clients. Intelligent learning requires dynamic trade between students of various callings". The essential objectives of interprofessional schooling are to adjust perspectives and feelings, breed regard among

callings, and make cooperation conceivable [6]. These overall objectives are at the focal point of the worldwide drive to further develop medical services. As illustrated by the IECEP (in full?) (2011), IPE is separated into the accompanying four essential capabilities inside the overall clinical school educational program: Teams and Teamwork, Interprofessional Communication, Values and Ethics for Interprofessional Practice, and Roles and Responsibilities. As per various examinations, the main significant stage in carrying out IPCP (in full?) inside a medical services association is to give "Patient focused care", and that means to give care in a climate that gives patients top notch care as per his/her necessities [7, 8]. Because of its impressive significance in the wellbeing area, Interprofessional coordinated effort is beginning to be instructed to medical care understudies under the name of between proficient training (IPE).

The proof on overall IPE in undergrad and postgraduate training, the consequences of studies gives significant knowledge to related analysts in regards to the need of IPE in clinical schooling (drafting?). (references?) Notably, the appraisal of the adequacy of IPE's was additionally a significant perspective. The nature of IPE programs shifted significantly across various nations. In many non-industrial nations are as yet battling to carry out this idea. (You have lost me. Hazy to what concentrate on you are alluding.) They face such countless difficulties because of less human and actual limits. The announced confirmations of IPE drives in Sri Lanka are very not as much as (what?). As an emerging nation, Sri Lanka worry about the positive wellbeing results to arrive at the MGD (in full with reference) objectives. The educational plans of the relative multitude of clinical resources depended on the British arrangement of clinical instruction [9]. In 1995, the customary discipline-based educational program was changed to a more coordinated and understudy focused educational program. The items for the central subjects were arranged into four primary regions; specifically essential sciences information, clinical capabilities, nonexclusive abilities, and expert qualities which incorporate moral issues and obligation to proceeding with clinical schooling. The primary highlights of the new educational program (presented when by whom?) are the incorporation of subject substance, the presentation of a framework based module framework, early openness of understudies to clinical and local area learning conditions, and the presentation of a conduct sciences stream. The instructing/gaining techniques have moved from customary talk based instructional showing exercises towards strategies including more noteworthy understudy interest. These incorporate little gathering conversations (SGD), issue based learning instructional exercises (PBL), understudy workshops, staff classes, dramatizations, discusses, banner meetings, and field-based educating (10). The historical backdrop of undergrad clinical training in Sri Lanka traces all the way back to 1870, when the Colombo Medical School was established. In 1942, the University of Ceylon was laid out, and the clinical school obtained college status as the Faculty of Medicine [10]. After some time, more resources of medication were laid out; as of now, there are six clinical resources in Sri Lanka. In the event that undergrad/graduate understudies, teach and prepared about interprofessional cooperation abilities and techniques will assist with giving cooperative patient consideration to work on patients' results and will assists with relieving current wellbeing challenges in Sri Lanka. Figuring out how to rehearse is a lot of fundamental to lessen the holes, clashes between every experts. Through acquainting IPE will assists with address future wellbeing challenges, increment the two specialists and patients fulfillment and upgrade nature of administration conveyance including more unique wellbeing experts together.

Methods

Information Collection Instrument

The Readiness for Interprofessional Learning Scale (RIPLS) reexamined by McFadyen et al., (2005) was utilized to gauge the undergrad medical care understudy's mentalities toward interprofessional groups and availability for interprofessional schooling [12]. RIPLS was started by Parsell and Bligh (1999) to survey understudy's perspectives towards interprofessional training as a 19-thing poll comprising of three subscales including cooperation and coordinated effort, positive and negative proficient character, and expert jobs and obligations to evaluate insights and mentalities of medical services understudies towards interprofessional learning (... ...).As the main instrument intended to assess the "status" of medical care understudies for shared exercises, the RIPLS permits teachers to measure the effect of intercessions on medical services understudies (6, 7). McFadyen et al. (2005) updated the RIPLS, partitioning the first three subscales into four, while expanding soundness and further developing psychometrics. The four subscales are [1] Teamwork and Collaboration (things 1-9, all out conceivable score 45); [2] Negative Professional Identity (things 10-12, absolute conceivable score 15); [3] Positive Professional Identity (things 13-16, complete conceivable score 20); and [4] Roles and Responsibilities (things 17-19, all out conceivable score 15). Every assertion, members were approached to give their reaction utilizing a 5-point Likert scale with 1 addressing "Firmly Disagree" and 5 addressing "Emphatically Agree". This scale has great unwavering quality with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.90 [12]. Resulting concentrates on utilizing medical care callings have likewise tracked down the RIPLS to exhibit OK degrees of legitimacy and unwavering quality [13, 15]. Preparation for Interprofessional Learning Scale (RIPLS) (McFayden et al., 2005), delineated in Appendices A. The scales empower quantitative estimation of changes in mentalities and discernments towards IPE, as well as survey understudies' status for interprofessional joint effort. McFadyen et al (2005) revealed inner consistency in light of the versatile adaptation as follows: Teamwork and Collaboration .79/.88, Negative Professional Identity .60/.76, Positive Professional Identity .76/.81, and Roles and Responsibilities .40/.89.

Information Collection

Information were gathered from wellbeing callings understudies at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya and Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Sri Jayaardanepura including MBBS, Nursing, Pharmacy and Occupational Therapy. Information were gathered from 300 understudies (120 male and 180 female); remembered for this gathering were 203 MBBS understudies (71 Male and 132 Female); 40 Nursing understudies (18 Male and 22 Female); 37 Pharmacy understudies (22 Male and 15 Female); and 20 OT understudies (9 Male and 11 Female). The reaction rate was 67.7% of MBBS understudies 13.3% of nursing understudies 12.3% of drug store understudies and 6.7% of OT understudies (Table 1). Fruition of the overview was deliberate. Notwithstanding the 19 things of the RIPLS overview, understudies were posed four extra inquiries. Segment questions included age, orientation, program of study and the University.

Factual Analysis

Six of the 19 things in the RIPLS were adversely phrased in the review structure; but for show, the scores kept in this paper are with the end goal that a higher score is dependably characteristic of a more uplifting perspective towards IPE. Cronbach alpha qualities were determined to decide the inward consistency of the RIPLS instrument in concentrate on populace. One-Way ANOVA were utilized for every one of the 19 things, as well as the 4 subscale scores and generally absolute score to assess understudies' perspectives towards IPE. Factual investigation was finished with IBM SPSS 20. (IBM Corp. Delivered 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Chicago, IL, USA).

Moral Considerations

Moral endorsement of the review was acquired from the Ethical Review Committee (ERC) of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya and the Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Sri Jayawardanepura, Sri Lanka to gather the information from Undergraduate medical services understudies. At the primary through discrete courses, the motivation behind the undertaking and its deliberate nature were cleared up for the undergrad medical care understudies and their composed assent got. All members were told about their privileges to pull out from the review whenever with no punishment. Concentrate on endorsement was gotten from the Ethical Review Committees were given data connected with the task points and subtleties. Undergrad medical care understudies were given the data before assents were gotten. Undergrad medical services understudies had the option to contact the chief specialists for additional subtleties, explanation, questions or grumblings. No college work force were associated with the information assortment. All private data remained rigorously classified and unknown with appointed code numbers. The crude information were open just to the specialist and just utilized for the examination study.

Results

Respondent Demographics and Response Rates

A sum of 300 understudies took part in this review, cases legitimate 285 and reaction pace of 95% cases rejected list wise in light of all factors in the system is 15. The segment attributes are summed up in Table 1. The respondents included 203 MBBS understudies (reaction rate 67.7%) and 40 nursing understudies (13.3%) of which 37 drug store understudies (12.3%) and 20 word related treatment (6.7%) understudies demonstrated socio-segment qualities. The greater part of the respondents in each example were college understudies who were following MBBS degree program representing 67.7% of this populace. Word related advisors were the most un-very much addressed, with just 6.7%. There were no equivalent respondents among undergrad medical services understudies and projects in the two foundations. Most respondents were female (60%). All respondents, were somewhere in the range of 20 and 30 years old (Table 2, 3, ,4 and RIPLS subscales).

Conversation

This study has shown the utility of the RIPLS instrument to evaluate the level of understudies' discernments and preparation towards IPE. The examination showed solid inner consistency inside the four subscales like cooperation and coordinated effort (Q1-Q9), negative proficient character (Q.10-Q.12), positive expert personality (Q.13-Q.16) and jobs and obligations (Q.17-Q.19) with Cronbach's Alpha upsides of .469. Contrasting and other RIPLS studies, this demonstrates that RIPLS is a steady and solid instrument for use in Sri Lankan setting with understudies. These outcomes demonstrate that RIPLS is a legitimate device for estimating the status of postgraduate medical services experts to participate in interprofessional learning.

Like the discoveries of a few past investigations, female understudies showed more inspirational perspectives towards IPE than male understudies. In particular, female college understudies' will quite often underscore their comprehension and availability towards the IPE yet no tremendous distinction when contrast and male understudies. Be that as it may, orientation has not in every case been related with contrasts in RIPLS scores. Contrasting and mean scores between subscales with projects of study, the massive distinction of the mean scores of drug store understudies were distinguished. The outcomes were determined in view of inconsistent number of respondents from every degree programms.

As per the tried outcomes on roof and floor impacts in this study understudies exhibited higher scores on the cooperation and joint effort subscale, meaning a more clear feeling of group working abilities are crucial as far as

wellbeing and social might be concerned understudies/ experts to learn. In addition, for the size of positive expert character, undergrad were given higher rating for (Q13) the Shared learning with other wellbeing and social consideration experts will assist me with discussing better with patients and different experts. Essentially, for (Q.17) Shared advancing when capability will assist me with improving as a group laborer. Interestingly, for (Q.18) under the size of jobs and obligations were demonstrated most reduced rating. That's what this most reduced rating featured, undergrad medical services understudies' doesn't have clear thought regarding their own proficient jobs and obligations. Considering the subscale of negative proficient personality, the greater part understudies' were given most reduced rating for (Q.10-Q12). This demonstrates that the wellbeing proficient understudies at chosen wellbeing establishments were not having satisfactory foundation information on IPE. Notwithstanding, the consequences of this study show that undergrad wellbeing proficient understudy's exhibited more prominent preparation for interprofessional learning and having positive insight towards IPE.

Conclusion

As far as qualities, the big number of respondents in this study was a conspicuous benefit alongside the fruitful endeavor to gauge the reactions of the people who neglected to answer the underlying review. Additionally, the moderately high alphas, which show the inner unwavering quality. The significant test experience for this review could exclude every one of the disciplines connected with the medical services training. A potential shortcoming of the review is that the understudies overviewed didn't have a past openness to interprofessional learning through their projects of study and inconsistent number of the example. Also, the creators have tracked down no equivalent concentrate in Sri Lankan setting about IPE with which to adjust the outcomes got in this review. The discoveries of this study recommend the college understudies have positive discernment on IPE and they have a thought on need of IPE picking up during the program of study. The necessities of IP getting the hang of during the wellbeing proficient degree projects would be fundamental for the nations like Sri Lanka to oversee viable patient consideration. This results shows that the degree of view of undergrad medical care understudies on IPE. Notwithstanding, this examination created the degree of undergrad medical services understudies' status on IP learning in Sri Lanka

References

1. Boehm LM, Vasilevskis EE, Mion LC. Interprofessional Perspectives on ABCDE Bundle Implementation. Dimensions of Critical Care Nursing. 2016; 35(6): 339-47.

2. Barr H, Ross F. Mainstreaming interprofessional education in the United Kingdom: a position paper. J Interprof Care. 2006; 20(2): 96-104.

3. Lohenry K, et al. Interprofessional Education: What Measurable Learning Outcomes Are Realistic for the Physician Assistant Profession? J Physician Assist Educ. 2016; 27(2): 63.

4. Lee B, et al. Attitudes of medical school deans toward interprofessional education in Western Pacific Region countries. J Interprof Care. 2012; 26(6): 479-83.

5. Mahler C, Berger S, Reeves S. The Readiness for Interprofessional Learning Scale (RIPLS): A problematic evaluative scale for the interprofessional field. Journal of Interprofessional Care. 2015; 29(4): 289-291.

6. Neville CC, et al. Team decision making: design, implementation and evaluation of an interprofessional education activity for undergraduate health science students. J Interprof Care. 2013; 27(6): 523-5.

7. Bhutta ZA, et al. Education of health professionals for the 21st century: a global independent Commission. The Lancet. 2010; 375(9721): 1137-8.

8. Dimoliatis ID, Roff S. Interprofessional/ multiprofessional health professions education: designing an efficient search to scope the literature of this exploding field. Health Info Libr J. 2007; 24(4): 274-82.

9. Anderson ES, Lennox A. The Leicester Model of Interprofessional education: developing, delivering and learning from student voices for 10 years. J Interprof Care. 2009; 23(6): 557-73.

10. Kulnik ST, et al. Implementing an interprofessional model of self-management support across a community workforce: A mixed-methods evaluation study. J Interprof Care. 2017; 31(1): 75-84.

11. D'Amour D, et al. The conceptual basis for interprofessional collaboration: core concepts and theoretical frameworks. J Interprof Care. 2005; 116-31.

12. Thistlethwaite JE. Interprofessional education and the basic sciences: Rationale and outcomes. Anat Sci Educ. 2015; 8(4): 299-304.

13. Thistlethwaite JE. Interprofessional education: implications and development for medical education. Educación Médica. 2015; 16(1): 68-73.

14. Barr H. Interprofessional Education:The Genesis of a

Global Movement The Centre for the Advancement of Interprofessional Education. 2015.

15. Maharajan MK, et al. Attitudes and Readiness of Students of Healthcare Professions towards Interprofessional Learning. PLoS One. 2017; 12(1): e0168863.

16. Brashers V, et al. The University of Virginia interprofessional education initiative: an approach to integrating competencies into medical and nursing education. J Interprof Care. 2012; 26(1): 73-5.

17. Opina-Tan LA. A pilot implementation of interprofessional education in a community-academe partnership in the Philippines. Educ Health (Abingdon). 2013; 26(3): 164-71.

18. Pecukonis E, et al. Interprofessional leadership training in MCH social work. Soc Work Health Care. 2013; 52(7): 625-41.

19. Kulczycki A, Windle S. Honor killings in the Middle East and North Africa: a systematic review of the literature. Violence Against Women. 2011; 17(11): 1442-64.

20. Hosny S, et al. Integrating interprofessional education in community-based learning activities: case study. Med Teach. 2013; 35: S68-73.

21. Jacobs JL, et al. Building a successful platform for interprofessional education for health professions in an Asian university. Med Teach. 2013; 35(5): 343-7.

22. Lin YC, et al. The impact of an interprofessional problem-based learning curriculum of clinical ethics on medical and nursing students' attitudes and ability of interprofessional collaboration: a pilot study. Kaohsiung J Med Sci. 2013; 29(9): 505-11.

23. Altin SV, Tebest R, Kautz-Freimuth S, Redaelli M, Stock S. Barriers in the implementation of interprofessional continuing education programs – a qualitative study from Germany. BMC Medical Education. 2014; 14: 227.

24. Wang R, et al. Implementation and evaluation of an interprofessional simulation-based education program for undergraduate nursing students in operating room nursing education: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Med Educ. 2015; 15: 115.

25. Wilby KJ, et al. Attitudes of pharmacy and nutrition students towards team-based care after first exposure to interprofessional education in Qatar. Journal of Interprofessional Care. 2014; 29(1): 82-4.

26. Indika Karunathilake, DS. and a.A.O. Ranjan Dias, Medical Education Reforms in Sri Lanka. Medical Education in Asia Pacific. 2006; 10.

27. De Silva BS, Rolls C. Health-care system and nursing in Sri Lanka: an ethnography study. Nurs Health Sci. 2010; 12(1): 33-8.

28. Soan EJ, et al. Exercise physiologists: essential players in interdisciplinary teams for noncommunicable chronic disease management. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2014; 7: 65-8.

29. Kim K, Ko J. Attitudes toward interprofessional health care teams scale: a confirmatory factor analysis. J Interprof Care. 2014; 28(2): 149-54.

30. Makino T, et al. Attitudes toward interprofessional healthcare teams: a comparison between undergraduate students and alumni. J Interprof Care. 2013; 27(3): 261-8.

31. Mahler C, et al. Internal consistency of the readiness for interprofessional learning scale in German health care students and professionals. BMC Med Educ. 2014; 14: 145.

32. Fernandes AR, et al. Dissecting through barriers: A mixed-methods study on the effect of interprofessional education in a dissection course with healthcare professional students. Anat Sci Educ. 2015; 8(4): 305-16.

33. Rotz ME, et al. Exploring first-year pharmacy and medical students' experiences during a longitudinal interprofessional education program. Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning. 2015; 7(3): 302-11.

34. Hermann CP, et al. Preparing Nursing Students for Interprofessional Practice: The Interdisciplinary Curriculum for Oncology Palliative Care Education. J Prof Nurs. 2016; 32(1): 62-71.

35. Maeno T, et al. Japanese students' perception of their learning from an interprofessional education program: a qualitative study. International Journal of Medical Education. 2013; 4: 9-17.

36. Talwalkar JS, et al. Readiness for interprofessional learning among healthcare professional students. Int J Med Educ. 2016; 7: 144-8.

37. Tsakitzidis G, et al. Participant evaluation of an education module on interprofessional collaboration for students in healthcare studies. BMC Med Educ. 2015; 15: 188.

38. Turkelson C, et al. Improving Nursing Communication Skills in an Intensive Care Unit Using Simulation and Nursing Crew Resource Management Strategies: An Implementation Project. J Nurs Care Qual. 2016.

39. Boland DH, et al. Interprofessional immersion: Use of interprofessional education collaborative competencies in side-by-side training of family medicine, pharmacy, nursing, and counselling psychology trainees. J Interprof Care. 2016; 30(6): 739-46.

40. El-Awaisi A, et al. A Middle Eastern journey of integrating Interprofessional Education into the healthcare curriculum: a SWOC analysis. BMC Med Educ. 2017; 17(1): 15.

41. Willgerodt MA, et al. Interprofessional education and practice guide No. 4: Developing and sustaining interprofessional education at an academic health center. J Interprof Care. 2015; 29(5): 421-5.

42. Reid R, et al. Validating the Readiness for Interprofessional Learning Scale (RIPLS) in the postgraduate context: are health care professionals ready for IPL? Med Educ. 2006; 40(5): 415-22.

43. Aston SJ, et al. Interprofessional education: a review and analysis of programs from three academic health centers. Acad Med. 2012; 87(7): 949-55.

44. Hoffman SJ, Harnish D. The merit of mandatory interprofessional education for pre-health professional students. Med Teach. 2007; 29(8): e235-42.

45. Rowthorn V, Olsen J. Putting the pieces together: creating and implementing an Interprofessional Global Health Grant Program. Healthc (Amst). 2015; 3(4): 258-63.

46. Chan EA, et al. Interprofessional education: the interface of nursing and social work. J Clin Nurs. 2010; 19(1-2): 168-76.

47. Wang J, et al. Pharmacy students' attitudes towards physician-pharmacist collaboration: Intervention effect of integrating cooperative learning into an interprofessional team-based community service. J Interprof Care, 2016; 30(5): 591-8.

48. Vaughan JI, et al. A method for developing standardised interactive education for complex clinical guidelines. BMC Med Educ. 2012; 12: 108.

49. Berger S, et al. Anchoring interprofessional education in undergraduate curricula: The Heidelberg story. J Interprof Care. 2017; 31(2): 175-9.

50. Shrader S, et al. Interprofessional Education and Practice Guide No. 7: Development, implementation, and evaluation of a large-scale required interprofessional education foundational programme. J Interprof Care. 2016; 30(5): 615-9.

51. Byakika-Kibwika P, et al. A situation analysis of inter-professional education and practice for ethics and professionalism training at Makerere University College of Health Sciences. BMC Res Notes. 2015; 8: 598.

52. Ogawara H, et al. Systematic inclusion of mandatory interprofessional education in health professions curricula at Gunma University: a report of student self-assessment in a nine-year implementation. Hum Resour Health. 2009; 7: 60.

53. Milot E, et al. Building an interfaculty interprofessional education curriculum: what can we learn from the Universite Laval experience? Educ Health (Abingdon). 2015; 28(1): 58-63.

54. Luebbers EL, et al. Implementation and evaluation of a community-based interprofessional learning activity. J Interprof Care. 2017; 31(1): 91-7.

55. Pardue KT. A framework for the design, implementation, and evaluation of interprofessional education. Nurse Educ. 2015; 40(1): 10-5.

56. Meche P, et al. Design and implementation of an interprofessional education course for undergraduate students at the University of Applied Sciences Western Switzerland: the Geneva experience. J Interprof Care. 2015; 29(3): 279-80.

57. Wang Y, et al. Attitudes toward Physician-Nurse Collaboration in Pediatric Workers and Undergraduate Medical/Nursing Students. Behav Neurol. 2015; 2015: 846498.

58. Barker KK, Bosco C, Oandasan IF. Factors in implementing interprofessional education and collaborative practice initiatives: findings from key informant interviews. J Interprof Care. 2005; 19: 166-76.

59. Zhang S, Lo EC, Chu CH. Attitude and awareness of medical and dental students towards collaboration between medical and dental practice in Hong Kong. BMC Oral Health. 2015; 15: 53.

60. Liaw SY, et al. Interprofessional simulation-based education program: a promising approach for changing stereotypes and improving attitudes toward nurse-physician collaboration. Appl Nurs Res. 2014; 27(4): 258-60.

61. Chua AZ, et al. The effectiveness of a shared conference experience in improving undergraduate medical and nursing students' attitudes towards inter-professional education in an Asian country: a before and after study. BMC Med Educ. 2015; 15: 233.

62. Zheng RM, Sim YF, Koh GCH. Attitudes towards interprofessional collaboration among primary care physicians and nurses in Singapore. Journal of Interprofessional Care. 2016; 30(4): 505-11.

63. Ernawati DK, Lee YP, Hughes J. Indonesian students' participation in an interprofessional learning workshop. J Interprof Care. 2015; 29(4): 398-400.

64. Lestari E, et al. Understanding students' readiness for interprofessional learning in an Asian context: a mixed-methods study. BMC Med Educ. 2016; 16: 179.

65. Regmi KR, Regmi S. Medical and nursing students attitudes towards interprofessional education in Nepal. J Interprof Care. 2010; 24(2): 150-67.

66. El-Zubeir M, Rizk DE, Al-Khalil RK. Are senior UAE medical and nursing students ready for interprofessional learning? Validating the RIPL scale in a Middle Eastern context. J Interprof Care. 2006; 20(6): 619-32.

67. Vafadar Z, Vanaki Z, Ebadi A. The readiness of postgraduate health sciences students for interprofessional education in iran. Glob J Health Sci. 2015. 7(4): 190-9.

68. Peduzzi M, et al. Cross-cultural adaptation of the Readiness for Interprofessional Learning Scale in Brazil. Rev Esc Enferm USP. 2015; 49: 7-15.

69. Zheng RM, Sim YF, Koh GC. Attitudes towards interprofessional collaboration among primary care physicians and nurses in Singapore. J Interprof Care. 2016; 30(4): 505-11.

70. Chan EA, et al. The use of interdisciplinary seminars for the development of caring dispositions in nursing and social work students. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 2009; 65(12): 2658-67.

71. Hui EC. Perceptions of ethical practices in Hong Kong public hospitals: inter- and intra-professional similarities and differences. J Nurs Manag. 2010; 18(6): 746-56.

72. Curran VR, Sharpe D, Forristall J. Attitudes of health sciences faculty members towards interprofessional teamwork and education. Med Educ. 2007; 41(9): 892-6.

73. Zanotti R, Sartor G, Canova C. Effectiveness of interprofessional education by on-field training for medical students, with a pre-post design. BMC Med Educ. 2015; 15: 121.

74. Woermann U, et al. Attitude towards and Readiness for Interprofessional Education in Medical and Nursing Students of Bern. GMS J Med Educ. 2016; 33(5): 73.

75. McFadyen AK, Webster VS, Maclaren WM. The test-retest reliability of a revised version of the Readiness for Interprofessional Learning Scale (RIPLS). J Interprof Care. 2006; 20(6): 633-9.